STATE OF SOUTH CAROLIN	NA )	DEEOD	Ta courta
(6 )	)	BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION	
(Caption of Case)	,	OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
Detti a Calle Office of Descript	) )		
Petition of the Office of Regulate Commission to Order a Rule to S		COVER	SHEET
Why the Certificates of Public C		)	
Necessity for Certain Providers	<b>)</b>	DOCKET	
Telecommunications Services Sh	hould Not be	NUMBER: 2007	_ 253 _ C
Revoked	)		
	, )		
	)		
(Please type or print)	•	SC Bar Number: 11208	
Submitted by: John J. Pringle,	Jr.	<b>Telephone:</b> 803-343-	·1270
7111 T 1 0 G	77.4	Fax: 803-799-	8479
Address: Ellis, Lawhorne & Sin	ms, PA	Other:	
PO Box 2285		Email: jpringle@ellislawho	arne com
Columbia SC 29202  NOTE: The cover sheet and information	- contained housin maither realizable		
as required by law. This form is require			
be filled out completely.			
DO	CKETING INFORMA	<b>TION</b> (Check all that apply)	
	Re	equest for item to be placed on	Commission's Agenda
Emergency Relief demanded i	in petition $\sqcup$ ex	peditiously	
Other:			
INDUSTRY (Check one)	NATURE OF ACTION (Check all that apply)		
Electric	Affidavit	Letter	Request
☐ Electric/Gas	Agreement	Memorandum	Request for Certification
Electric/Telecommunications	Answer	Motion	Request for Investigation
Electric/Water	Appellate Review	Objection	Resale Agreement
Electric/Water/Telecom.	Application	Petition	Resale Amendment
Electric/Water/Sewer	— ☐ Brief	Petition for Reconsideration	Reservation Letter
Gas	Certificate	Petition for Rulemaking	Response
Railroad	Comments	Petition for Rule to Show Cause	Response to Discovery
Sewer	Complaint	Petition to Intervene	Return to Petition
☐ Telecommunications	Consent Order	Petition to Intervene Out of Time	Stipulation
Transportation	☐ Discovery	☐ Prefiled Testimony	Subpoena
Water	 ☐ Exhibit	Promotion	Tariff
☐ Water/Sewer	Expedited Consideration	Proposed Order	Other:
Administrative Matter	☐ Interconnection Agreement	Protest	
Other:	☐ Interconnection Amendment	Publisher's Affidavit	
	☐ Late-Filed Exhibit	Report	

# **ELLIS: LAWHORNE**

John J. Pringle, Jr.
Direct dial: 803/343-1270
jpringle@ellislawhorne.com

August 30, 2007

# FILED ELECTRONICALLY AND ORIGINAL VIA 1ST CLASS MAIL SERVICE

The Honorable Charles L.A. Terreni

Chief Clerk

South Carolina Public Service Commission

Post Office Drawer 11649

Columbia, South Carolina 29211

RE:

Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff for Commission to Order a Rule to Show Cause as to Why the Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity for Certain Providers of Telecommunications Services Should

Not be Revoked

Docket No. 2007-253-C, Our File No. 1131-10347

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed please the original and one copy of the **Testimony of Carl Billek** for filing on behalf of IDT America Corporation in the above-referenced docket.

Please acknowledge your receipt of this document by file-stamping the copy of this letter enclosed, and returning it in the enclosed envelope.

If you have any questions or need additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

With kind regards, I am

Very truly yours,

John J. Pringle, Jr.

cc:

Shealy Boland Reibold, Esquire (via electronic and 1st class mail service)

Andrew Fisher, Esquire

Enclosures

THIS DOCUMENT IS AN EXACT DUPLICATE OF THE E-FILED COPY SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSION IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS ELECTRONIC FILING INSTRUCTIONS.

# **BEFORE**

# THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

# SOUTH CAROLINA

## **DOCKET NO. 2007-253-C**

IN R	E: )		
for C Caus Publi for C Telec	on of the Office of Regulatory Staff commission to Order a Rule to Show the as to Why the Certificates of the Convenience and Necessity tertain Providers of tommunications Services Should the Revoked  TESTIMONY OF CARL BILLEK		
Q.	Please state your name, business address and title.		
A.	My name is Carl Wolf Billek, and my business address is 520 Broad Street,		
	Newark, New Jersey 07102-3111. My title is Senior Regulatory Counsel of IDT		
	Telecom, Inc. ("IDT").		
Q.	Please state your qualifications.		
A.	I am currently Senior Regulatory Counsel for IDT Telecom, Inc. ("IDT").		
Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?		
A.	The purpose of my testimony is to testify to the facts in this matter and present		
	evidence at the hearing.		
Q.	What matters does this Docket involve?		
A:	As I understand it, the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") has conducted audits		
	of certain telecommunications companies to ensure proper reporting and other		
	compliance obligations with respect to the South Carolina Universal Service Fund		

1		("USF"). IDT is one of those companies. In particular, the ORS has been
2		interested in having IDT reconcile the revenue amounts reported by IDT in
3		connection with the USF to IDT's books.
4	Q.	What steps has IDT taken to try and resolve this matter?
5	A.	In the course of this Docket, IDT has provided numerous documents and
6		information to the ORS. Local counsel for IDT has discussed, corresponded with,
7		and met with the ORS on these topics. Further, I traveled to Columbia to meet
8		with the ORS on August 21, 2007 to try and resolve this matter. I think we are
9		making progress, and I appreciate the ORS' patience and willingness to work with
10		IDT.
11	Q:	What challenges has IDT faced in providing the reconciliations requested by
12		the ORS?
13	A:	As I will describe in more detail at the hearing, there are various aspects of the
14		way IDT keeps its books and records, as well as the peculiarities of IDT's lines of
15		business, that have made obtaining resolution difficult. However, the Company is
16		working very hard to provide documents and information that will be satisfactory
17		to the ORS, and will continue doing so. We hope to have this matter resolved
18		well before the hearing scheduled in this Docket.
19	Q:	Do you have an opinion about whether or not the Commission should revoke
20		the Company's certificate?
21	A:	I will leave the legal opinions to my counsel in this case. However, in view of the
22		good faith efforts we have undertaken, are undertaking now, and will undertake

- between now and the hearing to resolve these outstanding issues, I believe that
   revoking the Company's certificate would be a harsh punishment indeed.
- 3 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- 4 A. Yes.

5

#### **BEFORE**

## THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

## **SOUTH CAROLINA**

## **DOCKET NO. 2007-253-C**

IN RE:	)
Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff for Commission to Order a Rule to Show Cause as to Why the Certificates of Public Convenience and Necessity for Certain Providers of Telecommunications Services Should Not be Revoked	) ) CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ) )

This is to certify that I have caused to be served this day, one (1) copy of the **Testimony of Carl Billek** via electronic mail service and by placing a copy of same in the care and custody of the United States Postal Service (unless otherwise specified), with proper first-class postage affixed hereto and addressed as follows:

Shealy Boland Reibold, Esquire Office of Regulatory Staff Legal Department PO Box 11263 Columbia SC 29211

> Carol Roof Paralegal

August 30, 2007 Columbia, South Carolina